# STATEMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

FOR

## PROPOSED DUAL OCCUPANCY, CABANA AND POOL TO DWELLING 2

AT

# 73 KNOX STREET, BELMORE

FOR

MR ROB SAAB

### Site Analysis

The subject site is Lot 62 in DP 8791 at 27 Knox Street, Belmore. The site is a 793.1 sq.m allotment with a 15.24m frontage to Knox Street. The site is currently occupied by a single storey dwelling, driveway, ancillary structures, landscaping and fencing. The surrounding area is characterised by low to medium density residential development sharing similar characteristics and design features and industrial developments.



Street view photograph of the subject site



Aerial photograph of the subject site

### Proposal

The applicant seeks approval for the construction of a two storey attached dual occupancy and cabana and pool to dwelling 2 with associated Torrens Title subdivision at 27 Knox Street, Belmore. The Torrens Title development seeks to create two lots with the following specifications:

- Dwelling 1 located on the western part of the site with a 7.50m frontage to Knox Street and a total area of 412.88 sq.m
- Dwelling 2 located on the eastern part of the site with a 7.74m to frontage to Knox Street and a total area of 380.22 sq.m

### **Statutory Considerations**

When determining this application, the relevant matters listed in Section 79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 must be considered. In this regard, the following environmental planning instruments, development control plans (DCPs), codes and policies are relevant:

- (a) State Environmental Planning Policy 2004 (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX)
- (b) State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 Remediation of Land
- (c) Canterbury Local Environmental Plan 2012
- (d) Canterbury Development Control Plan 2012.
- (e) Canterbury Development Contributions Plan 2013

### Assessment

The proposal has been assessed in accordance with the matters for consideration under Section 79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and having regard to those matters', the following matters have been identified for further consideration:

### Section 79C (1)(a)(i) The provisions of any environmental planning instrument

# • State Environmental Planning Policy (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

Two single dwelling BASIX Certificates accompanied the application (Cert No. 1325262S and 1325361S). The certificate lists a number of commitments which have been detailed on the submitted plans and the proposal meets the water, thermal comfort and energy targets of the policy.

### • State Environmental Planning Policy No. 55 Remediation of Land

State Environmental Planning Policy 55 - Remediation of Land aims to promote the remediation of contaminated land for the purposes of reducing risk to human health or any other aspect of the environment. Clause 7 of SEPP 55 states that a consent authority must not consent to the carrying out of development unless it has considered whether the land is contaminated. If the land is contaminated, it must ascertain whether it is suitable in its contaminated state for the proposed use or whether remediation of the land is required.

Given that the site has been used for only residential purposes and that there is no proposed excavation, the site is considered to be consistent with State Environmental Planning Policy 55 – Remediation of Land.

Clause		Numerical Amount	Proposed	Complies
4.1A	Minimum lot size for dual occupancies	600m <sup>2</sup>	793.1m <sup>2</sup>	Yes
4.1B	Minimum subdivision lot size for dual occupancies	300m <sup>2</sup> each	Lot $1 - 412.88m^2$ Lot $2 - 380.22m^2$	Yes
4.3	Building height	8.5	7.0m	Yes
4.4	Floor Space Ratio	0.5:1	0.57:1	Refer to Clause 4.6 Variation

### • Canterbury Local Environmental Plan 2012

This site is zoned R4 High Density Residential under Canterbury LEP 2012. The controls applicable to this proposal are:

### • Canterbury Development Control Plan 2012

The development has been assessed against the applicable dual occupancy provisions in Canterbury Development Control Plan 2012 and is summarised in the table below:

Standard	Control	Proposed	Complies
C2.2.1 Minimum	C1 Dual occupancy and semi-	Dwelling 1 and 2 have a street	Yes
Lot Size and	detached dwellings must have a	frontage to Knox Street	
Frontage	street frontage.		
_	C2 Minimum 15m width,	The subject site has a 15.24m to	Yes
	measured at the street boundary.	Knox Street	
	On corner lots, this means the		
	short boundary.		
	C3 Each dwelling is required to	Each lot has a frontage of at least	Yes
	have a minimum frontage width	7.5m	
	of 7.5m.		
C2.2.2 Isolated Sites	C1 Neighbouring properties are	The proposed development is for	Acceptable
	not to be isolated so that the	an attached dual occupancy at the	1
	property will be unable to	subject site. The proposed works	
	reasonably accommodate	are designed to remain	
	redevelopment.	sympathetic to the surrounding	
	-	streetscape and will not impart	
		any undue negative impacts	
		within the streetscape.	
		The proposed development	
		typology will not result in the	
		isolation of the subject site or	
		adjacent adjoining sites. This is	
		insured as the adjoining adjacent	
		sites to the north east of the site	
		maintain a minimum frontage of	
		48m and an approximate lot area	
		of 1144m2. Subsequently, the	
		adjoining site are capable of	
		achieving suitable medium to	
		high density development in	
		future.	
		Finally, the proposed	
		development will result in	
		development that is consistent	
		within the Knox Street	
		streetscape, which is	
		characterized by a combination of	
		detached dwellings, attached dual	
		occupancies and low rise	
		residential flat buildings. On this	

~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~			
		in any undue isolation of the subject site or adjoining sites.	
(2223 Privata Anan	C2 Dual occupancy and semi-	>50 sq.m of private open space is	Yes
_	detached dwellings with a	provided for each new lot.	105
-	frontage of 7.5m or greater must	Dwelling 1 - 198m2	
	provide a minimum of 50m2 of	Dwelling 2 - 114m2	
	private open space.	Dwennig 2 - 114mz	
	C3 Dual occupancy and semi-	Each new dwelling is provided	Yes
	detached dwellings must provide	with private open space with a	1 05
	one area of private open space	minimum dimension of at least	
	with a minimum dimension in any	4m in any direction.	
	direction of 4m.	4m m any direction.	
	C4 Dual occupancy and semi-	An area suitable for outdoor	Yes
	detached dwellings must provide	dining facilities is provided for	1 05
	one area at least 2.5m x 2.5m	each new dwelling with	
	suitable for outdoor dining	dimensions of 2.5m x 2.5m	
	facilities.	unicipions of 2.3111 x 2.3111	
	C5 The design of private open	Complies	Yes
	space must satisfy the following	Compiles	105
	criteria:		
	(a) Be located at ground level to		
	the rear of an allotment behind the		
	dual occupancy.		
	(b) Be located adjacent to the		
	main living areas, such as a living		
	room.		
	(c) Have a maximum gradient of		
	1:50.		
	(d) The principal area of open		
	space for each dwelling may		
	comprise a combination of		
	privacy screens, sun-shading		
	devices and landscaped areas.		
	(e) Be designed to prevent direct		
	overlooking from a public place		
	or from neighbouring buildings.		
	(f) Be designed to accommodate		
	both recreation and service		
	activities.		
	(g) Include a suitably screened		
	area for clothes drying facilities.		
	(h) Be oriented to provide		
	maximum exposure to midwinter		
	sunlight whilst optimising		
	privacy.		

	Ensure that balconies, verandas or	No encroachment	Yes
	pergolas do not encroach upon any required deep soil area.		
C2.2.4 Layout and Orientation	C1 Orientate development to maximise solar access and natural lighting, without unduly increasing the building's heat load.	Dwelling living area's face north and comply.	Yes
	C2 Site the development to avoid casting shadows onto neighbouring dwelling's primary living area, private open space and solar cells.	Complies. Refer to shadow diagrams.	Yes
	C3 Coordinate design for natural ventilation with passive solar design techniques.	Complies	Yes
	C4 Site new development and private open space to avoid existing shadows cast from nearby buildings.	Achieved	Yes
	C5 Site a building to take maximum benefit from cross- breezes and prevailing winds.	Achieved	Yes
	C6 Do not compromise the creation of casual surveillance of the street, communal space and parking areas, through the required orientation.	Achieved	Yes
C2.3.2 Height Height	C1 Development for the purposes of dual occupancy and semi- detached dwellings must not exceed the following numerical requirements: (a) A maximum two storey built	Complies	
	form. (b) A maximum external wall height of 7m where the maximum height of buildings standard under the LEP is 8.5m.	Maximum 2 storeys 7.0m	Yes Yes
	(c) Finished ground floor level is not to exceed 1m above the natural ground level.	0.6m	Yes
	Outbuilding height – 4.8m	3.0m	Yes

	Outbuilding wall height – 3.8m	3.1m	Yes
C2.3.2 Height Basement and Sub- floor Projection	C2 Dual occupancy or semi- detached dwelling development must not include basement or subfloor parking.	N/A	Yes
C2.3.2 Height Attics and Roof	C3 Attics and mezzanine floors do not comprise a storey.	N/A	Yes
Terraces	C4 Roof top terraces are not acceptable on any building or outbuilding in any residential zone.	N/A	Yes
C2.3.2 Height Retaining Walls	C5 Walls that would enclose a sub-floor area: (a) Maximum 2m for steeply sloping land; and (b) Maximum 1m for all other land.	N/A	Yes
	C6 Retaining walls that would be located along, or immediately adjacent to, any boundary: (a) Maximum 3m for steeply sloping land, but only to accommodate a garage that would be located at street level; and (b) Maximum 1m for all other land.	N/A	Yes
C2.3.2 Height Cut and fill	C7 Maximum 1m cut below ground level where it will extend beyond an exterior wall of the building.	N/A	Yes
	C8 No limit to cut below ground level where it will be contained entirely within the exterior walls of a building, however, excavated area is not to accommodate any habitable room that would be located substantially below ground level.	N/A	Yes
	C9 Maximum 600mm fill above ground level where it would extend beyond an exterior wall of a building.	N/A	Yes
	C10 If proposed cut and fill, or a retaining wall, would be deeper or higher than 1m, structural	N/A	Yes

viability must be confirmed by suitably qualified engineers' reports.       Image: C2 Development must comply         C2.3.3 Setbacks       C2 Development must comply         Front, Side and Rear       Vith the minimum front, side and rear setbacks in the following tables:         Table C2.2: Dual Occupancy and Semi-detached 12.5m or greater       Front setback:         Front Setbacks       Front setback:         · 6m or average of dwelling to either side of site.       Front setback:
reports.       C2.3.3 Setbacks         Front, Side and Rear       C2 Development must comply         with the minimum front, side and       rear setbacks in the following         tables:       Table C2.2: Dual Occupancy         and Semi-detached 12.5m or       greater         Front Setbacks       Front setback:         • 6m or average of dwelling to       Front setback:
C2.3.3 Setbacks       C2 Development must comply         Front, Side and Rear       with the minimum front, side and         Setbacks       rear setbacks in the following         tables:       Table C2.2: Dual Occupancy         and Semi-detached 12.5m or       greater         Front Setbacks       Front setback:         • 6m or average of dwelling to       Front setback:         Yes
Front, Side and Rear Setbackswith the minimum front, side and rear setbacks in the following tables:Image: Constraint of the following tables:Table C2.2: Dual Occupancy and Semi-detached 12.5m or greaterTable C2.2: Dual Occupancy and Semi-detached 12.5m or greaterFront setbacks: Dwelling 1:
Setbacks       rear setbacks in the following tables:         Table C2.2: Dual Occupancy and Semi-detached 12.5m or greater         Front Setbacks         • 6m or average of dwelling to
tables:Table C2.2: Dual Occupancy and Semi-detached 12.5m or greaterFront Setbacks • 6m or average of dwelling toFront setback: Dwelling 1:Yes
Table C2.2: Dual Occupancy and Semi-detached 12.5m or greaterFront setbacks betbacksFront setback: Dwelling 1:Yes
and Semi-detached 12.5m or greaterFront setbacksFront SetbacksFront setback: Dwelling 1:• 6m or average of dwelling toDwelling 1:
and Semi-detached 12.5m or greaterFront setbacksFront SetbacksFront setback: Dwelling 1:• 6m or average of dwelling toDwelling 1:
and Semi-detached 12.5m or greaterFront setbacksFront SetbacksFront setback: Dwelling 1:• 6m or average of dwelling toDwelling 1:
greaterFront SetbacksFront setback:• 6m or average of dwelling toDwelling 1:Yes
Front SetbacksFront setback:• 6m or average of dwelling toDwelling 1:Yes
6m or average of dwelling to Dwelling 1: Yes
6m or average of dwelling to Dwelling 1: Yes
Dwelling 2: 6.0m
Side Setbacks
Minimum setback of 1.2m from Side setback: Yes
side boundaries. Dwelling 1: Yes
6
0
side boundaries. Side setback: Yes
Rear Setbacks Dwelling 2 outbuilding: 0.9m
Minimum setback of 6m from     Yes
Outbuilding Dwelling 1:
• Minimum setback of 0.9m from 19.7m
rear boundaries with windows. Dwelling 2: 14.4m Yes
Rear setback:
Dwelling 2 outbuilding: 0.16m
No windows
C3 Front and rear setbacks are to Achieved Yes
be provided as deep soil areas.
Driveways and footpaths may
cross deep soil areas.
C2.3.4 BuildingC1 Dual occupancy housing andDwelling 1:Yes
Depthsemi-detached dwellings must not22.55mYes
exceed a building depth of 25m. Dwelling 2: 22.55m
C2 4.1 Cancerel C1 Contamponent on Life struct Derived in C1 Contamponent on Life struct
C2.4.1 General C1 Contemporary architectural Design is appropriate Yes
Design designs may be acceptable if:
Contemporary Built (a) A heritage listing does not
Form apply to the existing dwelling or
to its immediate neighbours.
(b) The proposed addition is not
visually prominent from the street
or from a public space.

	<ul> <li>(c) Extensive remodelling of existing facades is proposed in accordance with controls of this DCP.</li> <li>C2 New building forms and design features shall not mimic traditional features, but should reflect these in a contemporary</li> </ul>	Complies	Yes
	design. C3 Access to upper storeys must not be via external stairs.	N/A	Yes
	C4 All dwellings must contain one kitchen and laundry facility.	Complies	Yes
<b>C2.4.1 General</b> <b>Design</b> Building Entries	C7 Entries to residential buildings must be clearly identifiable.	Complies	Yes
	C8 A minimum of one habitable room must be oriented towards the street to promote positive social interaction and community safety.	Complies	Yes
	C9 Sight lines to the street from habitable rooms or entrances must not be obscured by ancillary structures.	Complies	Yes
C2.4.1 General Design Internal Dwelling Layout	C10 Design interiors to be capable of accommodating the range of furniture that is typical for the purpose of each room.	Complies	Yes
	C11 The primary living area and principal bedroom must have a minimum width of 3.5m.	Complies	Yes
	C12 Secondary bedrooms must have a minimum width of 3m.	Complies	Yes
	C13 Provide general storage in addition to bedroom wardrobes and kitchen cupboards.	Complies	Yes

C2.4.1 General	C19 The width of articulating	Complies	Yes
	0	Compiles	res
Design	panels shall be in accordance with		
Façade Treatment	the numerical requirements		
	below:		
	Table C2.3:Width of articulating		
	panels		
	Street Elevation Side Elevation 4m to 6m 10m to 15m		
	4m to 6m 10m to 15m		
	C17 E 1	Constitut	N
	C17 Facades visible from the	Complies	Yes
	street should be designed as a		
	series of articulating panels or		
	elements.		
	C20 Avoid long flat walls along	Complies	Yes
		Compiles	res
	street frontages - stagger the wall		
	alignment with a step (not a fin		
	wall of other protruding feature)		
	of at least 0.5m for residential		
	buildings.		
	C22 Incorporate contrasting	Complies	Yes
	elements in the facade - use a		
	harmonious range of high quality		
	materials, finishes and detailing.		
	C24 The top storey of any two-	Complies	Yes
C2.4.1 General	storey dwelling should be	Complies	1 05
Design	designed as a series of connected		
Pavillions	pavilion elements to minimise		
Pavillions	1		
	scale and bulk.	Consulting	N
	C27 Articulate upper storey	Complies	Yes
	pavilions with an additional side		
	boundary setback, and identify by		
	separate roofs.		
	C30 Windows must be	Complies	Yes
C2.4.1 General	rectangular.	Compres	105
Design			
Windows			
C2.4.1 General	C35 Incorporate features to	Complies	Yes
Design	facilitate natural ventilation and	p	
Ventilation	convective currents - such as		
, unmation	opening windows, high vents and		
	grills, high level ventilation (ridge		
	grins, ingh iever ventilation (huge		

			1
	and roof vents) in conjunction		
	with low-level air intake		
	(windows or vents).		
C2.4.2 Roof Design	C1 Use a simple pitched roof that	Complies	Yes
and Features	accentuates the shape of exterior	Compiles	105
and I catures	walls, and minimises bulk and		
	scale.		
	C3 Roof pitches are to be	Complies	Yes
	compatible and sympathetic to	complies	105
	nearby buildings.		
	hearby buildings.		
	Pitched roofs should not exceed a	<30	Yes
	pitch of 30 degrees.		
	C8 Relate roof design to the	Complies	Yes
	desired built form and context.		105
C2.4.3 Fencing	C2 Front fences within the front	None proposed	Yes
C2.4.5 Fenting	boundary setback are to be no	Tione proposed	105
	higher than 1.2m.		
	-	Complies	Yes
	C3 Side fences may be 1.8m high	Complies	1 05
	to the predominant building line. Forward of the building line, side		
	_		
	fences must taper down to the		
	height of the front fence at a height no greater than 1.2m.		
C2 4 4 Duilding		Camplia	Var
C2.4.4 Building	C3 Integrate systems, services	Complies	Yes
Services	and utility areas with the design		
	of the whole development – coordinate materials with those of		
	the building and integrate with		
	landscaping.		
C2.5.1 Solar Access	C1 Where site orientation permits	Dwelling 1 achieves a minimum	Yes
and Overshadowing	at least primary living areas of	of 3 hours of sunlight between	
Solar Access to	dwellings must receive a	12.00pm and 3.00pm.	
Proposed	minimum of 3 hours of sunlight	Dwelling 2 achieves a minimum	
Development	between 8.00am and 4.00pm on	of 3 hours of sunlight between	
	21 June.	12.00pm and 3.00pm.	
	C2 Principle areas of private open	Dwelling 1 achieves a minimum	Yes
	space must receive a minimum of	of 3 hours of sunlight between	
	3 hours of sunlight between	12.00pm and 3.00pm.	
	9.00am and 3.00pm on 21 June to	Dwelling 2 achieves a minimum	
	at least 50% of the open space	of 3 hours of sunlight between	
	surface area.	12.00pm and 3.00pm.	

C2.5.1 Solar Access	C4 Proposed development must	Complies	Yes
and Overshadowing	retain a minimum of 3 hours of	complies	1 05
Solar Access to	sunlight between 8.00am and		
Neighbouring	4.00pm on 21 June for existing		
Development	primary living areas and to 50%		
Development	of the principal private open		
	space.		
	C5 If a neighbouring dwelling	Complies	Yes
	currently receives less than 3	Complies	res
	•		
	hours of sunlight, then the		
	proposed development must not		
	reduce the existing level of solar		
	access to that property.	27/4	
	C6 Sunlight to solar hot water or	N/A	Yes
	photovoltaic systems on adjoining		
	properties must comply with the		
	following:		
	(a) Systems must receive at least		
	3 hours of direct sunlight between		
	8.00am and 4.00pm on 21 June.		
	(b) If a system currently receives		
	less than 3 hours sunlight, then		
	proposed development must not		
	reduce the existing level of		
	sunlight.		
	C7 Clothes drying areas on	Complies	Yes
	adjoining residential properties		
	must receive a minimum of 3		
	hours of sunlight on 21 June.		
C2.5.1 Solar Access	C8 Windows and openings shall	Complies	Yes
and Overshadowing	be appropriately located and	Compiles	105
Shading Devices	shaded to reduce summer heat		
Shading Devices	load and maximise sunlight in		
	winter.		
	C12 Avoid reducing internal	Complies	Yes
	natural daylight or interrupting	compiles	103
	views with shading devices.		
	_		
C2.5.2 Visual	C1 Locate and orient new	Complies	Yes
Privacy	development to maximise visual		
	privacy between buildings, on and		
	adjacent to the site.		
	C2 Minimise direct overlooking	Complies	Yes
	of rooms and private open space		
	through the following:		
	(a) Provide adequate building		
	separation, and rear and side		
	setbacks; and		
	1	1	1

	<ul> <li>(b) Orient living room windows and private open space towards the street and/or rear of the lot to avoid direct overlooking between neighbouring residential properties.</li> <li>C3 If living room windows or private open spaces would directly overlook a neighbouring dwelling:</li> <li>(a) Provide effective screening</li> </ul>	Complies	Yes
	neighbouring residential properties. C3 If living room windows or private open spaces would	Complies	Yes
	dwelling: (a) Provide effective screening with louvres, shutters, blinds or pergolas; and/or (b) Use windows that are less than 600mm wide or have a minimum sill height of at least 1.5m above		
the associated floor level. C4 Screening of bedroom windows is optional and dimensions are not restricted.		Complies	Yes
C2.5.3 Acoustic Privacy	C1 Protect sensitive rooms, such as bedrooms, from likely sources of noise such as major roads and neighbouring' living areas.	Complies	Yes

### Canterbury Development Control Plan 2012 - Subdivision

The proposal has been compared to the relevant provisions of CDCP 2012 below:

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	Complies
Minimum site width prior to subdivision	15m	15.24m	Yes
Minimum site width post subdivision	7.5m	Lot 1: 7.5m Lot 2: 7.74m	Yes Yes
Frontage and Access	Dwellings must have a street frontage.	Both of the proposed allotments have a direct frontage and access to Knox Street	Yes

### Part B1– Transport and Planning

Clause	Numerical Amount	Proposed	Complies		

Clause		Numerical Amount	Proposed	Complies
B 1.4.8	Minimum spaces per dwelling - for a dual occupancy development where each dwelling has three or more bedrooms	2 spaces per dwelling	Each provides a single car garage and a second space via stacked.	Yes
	% of combined width of garage doors not to occupy the overall width of any facade	50% Maximum	Combined width of the garages is 5.2m. Which is less than 50% of the width of the dual occupancies façade (13.43m).	Yes
	Location of garage	Setback at least 1m behind the outermost alignment of external walls	Complies	Yes

### Part B7- Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design (CPTED)

The development has been assessed against the provisions of Canterbury Development Control Plan 2012. The CPTED elements of natural surveillance and access points require special consideration and is summarised below:

Requirements	Proposal	Complies
Location of front door -visible to the street/	Doors are visible to the street and other	Yes
other properties	properties	
Habitable rooms with windows to front street or	Habitable rooms are located at the front of the	Yes
adjacent to public areas	dwelling/ adjacent to public areas	
Visibility to street/ public areas - not obstructed	Visibility of dwelling will be not be	Yes
by new/existing landscaping or fencing.	obstructed by landscaping	

### . Natural Environment Impacts

. The proposal is not considered to result in any unacceptable impacts to the natural environment given the nature of works proposed for the reasons contained within this report.

### . Built Environment Impacts

- . The proposed development is not considered to result in any unacceptable built environment impacts as the proposal forms a residential permissible use.
- . Economic Impacts
- . The proposal is not considered to result in any unacceptable economic impacts given the proposed residential purpose.
- . Social Impacts
- . The proposal is not considered to result in any unacceptable social impacts.

### Section 79C(1)(c) The suitability of the site for the development

### Suitability of the site

The proposal is considered to be suitable for the subject site. The proposal is considered to be appropriate as the proposal adequately satisfies the underlying intent of the objectives of the applicable planning controls.

### Section 79C(1)(e) The public interest

### **Public Interest**

The proposed development is considered to be in the public interest for the reasons contained within this report. As previously stated the proposal adequately satisfies the underlying planning objectives of the controls and do not result in any unreasonable material impact.

### (3A) STANDARDS

The proposal has been considered against Section 79C Evaluation Clause (3A)(a)(b) which states that;

"(3A) Development control plans If a development control plan contains provisions that relate to the development that is the subject of a development application, the consent authority:

(a) if those provisions set standards with respect to an aspect of the development and the development application complies with those standards-is not to require more onerous standards with respect to that aspect of the development, and

(b) if those provisions set standards with respect to an aspect of the development and the development application does not comply with those standards-is to be flexible in applying those provisions and allow reasonable alternative solutions that achieve the objects of those standards for dealing with that aspect of the development, and

(c) may consider those provisions only in connection with the assessment of that development application."

As discussed within the report, the proposal seeks variations to car parking and setbacks. In relation to the above, the proposal is considered to reasonable in satisfying the underlying intent of the objectives controls.

### Conclusion

The proposal is considered to be appropriate as the considerations against the Statutory provisions have been met. The proposal satisfies the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 (as amended), Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (as amended), State Environmental Planning Policy No.55 – Remediation of Land, State Environmental Planning Policy – BASIX: 2004, State Environmental Planning Policy (Infrastructure) 2007, Canterbury Local Environmental Plan 2012, Canterbury Development Control Plan 2012.

In conclusion, the proposal forms a dual occupancy development which forms a permissible development use subject to development consent. The proposal satisfies the objectives of the controls and no unreasonable unacceptable natural, built environment, economic or social impacts arise from the proposal. In this regard, the proposal is considered to be worthy for approval for consideration by Canterbury Bankstown Council, as the Consent Authority.